

Regarding Ignaz Kiechle (April 26, 1990)

We've decided to respond to the Verfassungsschutz fabrication that we planned and then called off an attack against Kiechle.¹ We are doing this because following the publication and distribution of the Verfassungsschutz communiqué in many cities and scenes, comrades began to debate this nonsense.

We will be brief – we initially were thinking about responding to this state security fabrication with a comprehensive text about how we view the revolutionary process – but after giving it some thought, we decided to quickly put an end to the confusion and chaos that this Verfassungsschutz statement set in motion.

The goal of this state security operation is to create insecurity, division, and confusion. It occurs at a time when many people are waiting for us to clarify more precisely the thoughts and observations we touched upon only briefly and very generally in the Herrhausen communiqué. As well as very important questions that remain unaddressed.

The Verfassungsschutz fabrication made it perfectly clear to us that our errors and people's subsequent distrust have longer-lasting effects than perfectly clear and positive actions.

Of course, after twenty years of armed politics and the experience people have had with us, state security can no longer put forward claims that we intend to poison the drinking water of large cities. Today, no one would believe that.

In the current situation, one in which there is an increasing sense of closeness among all those who struggle, state security is attempting to address this new development in our relationship with many sections of the revolutionary left in the aftermath of the Herrhausen attack with a completely absurd target – e.g., this farm worm – and depoliticized catchphrases like “compulsory actionism.”

Struggle together!

¹Ignaz Kiechle was a CSU politician, and from 1983 until 1993 the Federal Minister for Foodstuffs, Agriculture, and Forests.